

SCOTT COUNTY KICKER

VOL. X.

BENTON, MO., NOVEMBER 1910.

NO. 1. 3

Fred Warren, the "Convict."

Honest Men go to Jail while Thieves Hold High Official Positions.

"The Republican party will uphold, at all times, the authority and integrity of the courts—From Republican platform, 1908. 'The courts of justice are the bulwark of our liberties and we yield to none in our purpose to maintain their dignity.'—From Democratic platform, 1908. Why did both of the capitalist parties find it necessary to prop up their courts by an indorsement? Was it because the people are beginning to understand the class character of these courts? And what they really are—and what they are for? Last week the United States Circuit Court affirmed the decision of the District Court sentencing Fred Warren, editor of the Appeal to Reason, to serve six months in a federal prison. What was Warren doing? Why was Warren imprisoned? Why, Warren 'defamed' the character of ex-Gov. Taylor, of Kentucky, who was at that time hiding from the authorities and under indictment, charged with complicity in the murder of Gov. Goebel, of Kentucky, and for whose arrest and return to the Kentucky authorities the legislature of Kentucky had offered a reward of \$100,000! How did Warren 'defame' the character of this fugitive from justice? By printing on envelopes, IN ED INK, a reward of \$1,000 for the delivery of this same man Taylor to the Kentucky authorities. But he evidently suspected that something might 'drap' so he submitted the envelopes to his postmaster at Girard, who told him it was no violation of law to mail them. Had the postmaster thought differently it would have been his duty to stop the objectionable matter there and then. Why did Warren want to circulate such a reward? Ah, here comes the 'rub.' This was four years ago, while Moyer, Haywood and Pettibone were in an Idaho prison. At that time there was a labor war on in Colorado between the miners and mine owners. The owners, of course, controlled the state government and the workers were driven from their homes and out of the state by soldiers—follows the workers are taxed to support.

Chas. Moyer was, and is yet, president of the Western Federation of Miners, with Denver, Colo., as headquarters. Haywood was secretary. Pettibone was an official. One night these men were kidnapped by Idaho and Colorado officials and placed on a special train, under military guard, and taken to Idaho on a trumped up charge of being implicated in the murder of ex-Gov. Steunenburg, of Idaho. Before leaving Denver they were not allowed to see their wives nor anybody else. The 'st' their families knew of what it happened was when they were in the morning papers. It is a cold-blooded conspiracy between the officials of Idaho and Colorado—both the tools of a mine owners—to take these leaders of the workers into Idaho and legally murder them. In Idaho they were kept in solitary confinement and it was some time before they were permitted to consult lawyers. This was, mind you, in so-called 'free' America—not in Mexico nor in Russia. None of these men had been indicted by an Idaho grand jury, nor were they in that state when Steunenburg was killed. Hence they could not have been fugitives from justice and subject to extradition. Therefore their attorneys attempted to secure their release because they had been deprived of their constitutional rights and illegally taken from their homes into a foreign state. But all of the courts, including the highest court in the land, held these proceedings to be legal. Yet, to give the people a little hope, there is always a dissenting opinion. Justice McKenna held that 'kidnaping is a crime.' That is what Justice McKenna called it—'kidnaping'—in his dissenting opinion regarding the way the men were taken out of Colorado. About that time ex-Gov. Taylor was a fugitive from the Kentucky authorities. Taylor was a capitalist and a Republican politician. He was accused of being complicit in the assassination of Goebel. The Kentucky state officials had offered \$100,000 reward for his capture and return to the Kentucky authorities. Taylor was a Republican governor who refused to raise the regulation of the coal of Kentucky. The ex-Gov. Taylor was a 'fair trial' course a 'fair trial' Moyer, Haywood and Pettibone were in Idaho, where the were in complete

evidently hit them in a very tender spot. He wanted a test to see if the courts would hold the same opinion in case the kidnapped person be a capitalist. Taylor was in exactly the same position they had accused the labor officials of being. He was accused of complicity in murder and was in a different state from the one in which the offense was committed. So Warren offered his own case at St. Paul last May. He boldly told the court of its class character, and that it could no more deal fairly with him than the judges of King George could deal fairly with the revolutionary fathers of 1776. And he was right. But they held the decision until AFTER the election.

Taylor, the capitalist, was pardoned without a trial and is a free man, while Warren, the Socialist, is a 'convict'—and the probable presidential nominee of the Socialist party in 1912. The case will be appealed to the United States Supreme court and we need expect no decision until AFTER the election of 1912. Commenting on the case the Chicago Daily Socialist says: 'The legal contest which has dragged through the courts for almost four years, and ultimately in a jail sentence and a fine for Fred D. Warren, editor of the Appeal to Reason, is an incident of the class struggle. 'There will be many more such incidents, some of them far more drastic, before the great revolution is accomplished. 'Throughout history the ruling class has availed itself of the weapons of the 'existing order' to handicap and destroy the apostles of the new order. 'They crucified Jesus, burned Savonarola, imprisoned Galileo, hanged John Brown. 'Men and women are denounced, called anarchists, atheists, agitators, to prejudice the people against their messages. The strongest and bravest advocates of progress are imprisoned and crushed by the brutal hand of class law. 'Fred D. Warren goes to prison not because he committed a crime, but because he is an active, fearless, aggressive Socialist. 'The working class have a palpable demonstration that capitalist judges interpret the law differently for members of their class than for members of the lower classes. 'The Dred Scott decision by the now infamous Taney aroused the nation to the brutalities of chattel slavery. 'The Fred Warren decision by Pollock, whom history will class with Taney, will awaken the nation to the infamies of wage-slavery. 'THE TIME IS NOW. 'Everywhere the Socialists are busy. They are just as active at this time as they were before the election. In fact, it is a better time to make Socialists than during the turmoil of a campaign. And the key to success is Organization. And now is the time to organize. A disorganized force is of little value. 'At the recent election in Scott county we polled nearly 1,000 votes. The reason we did not poll more was because we had very little organization and less money. We cannot go to the bankers and landlords for campaign funds. We must get it from the workers—and they have very little. We had no money to start on and none at the finish. During the summer and fall, we secured and spent some \$800 for speakers and literature. Had we not received \$100 from the national committee we would have wound up hopelessly in debt. 'The plan adopted at Morley, is that the county be organized and that a fund be created for use two years hence. To create this fund each member is to pay 25c quarterly to the county fund, which will amount to \$1.00 per year for each member. Suppose we should get 200 members, we would have a nice fund that would give us a start. 'Last month we had to 'lay down' at the very time when we ought to have been busy in every township. We were 'broke' and could arrange for no speakers. The money from the national committee came just a week before the election and, although we telegraphed to various points, all speakers were engaged. 'About this time it was that our opponents got busy. So long as we had speakers in the field they hid out. 'None would meet our speakers in debate. But at the close they

imported some speakers who, of course, could not be expected to answer questions on the local conditions. Nor did they want any debate of any kind. 'Had we been able to keep up the campaign as we had it going about a month before the election, we would have made a much better showing—if we had not carried the county. Let's get in shape to make a real campaign two years hence. 'Organize! It was organization that carried Milwaukee. Organization will carry Scott county at the next election. Don't wait for the other fellow. Get at it yourself. 'The capitalists are always organized thru their banking and other interests. But the workers—if they want organization they will have to get busy. 'Fred Warren, editor of the Appeal to Reason says it will be the proudest day of his life when he enters prison for the cause of humanity. Yet there are hundreds of tenant farmers and wage earners who refuse to join a Socialist local because they are afraid of the landlord or boss. Many of them refused to vote last month for the same reason. And yet they consider themselves 'free.' 'Get the Warren spirit. Come out in the open and defy your landlords and bosses. They will respect you the more for it. Organize. Work. Agitate.

QUEER THINGS HAPPEN. 'Wonder if Thos. B. Worrall, the Republican candidate for county judge from this district, has ever been able to figure out how it happened that Matt Thomas carried this district for that office two years ago so easily, while Mr. Worrall lost it just as easily this year—although Thomas slid into the representatives job? 'Perhaps it has never occurred to Mr. Worrall that it was never intended he should have the job of county judge, but that he was 'used' because he lives in a Socialist community and was expected to draw Socialists to the polls. 'The same as Billy Moore in the first district. Mr. Worrall is a good farmer, with a head of his own, and that sort of a man is 'dangerous' on the court bench. 'On my way to St. Louis, two weeks ago, I had to stop over at Cape Girardeau for two hours. I met there a business man and Republican politician from Chaffee who talked rather freely for one of his kind. He was talking to a citizen of Cape Girardeau and myself and related the 'deal' with the Democrats. 'We told them to come across for Matt Thomas and we would come across for Bandy and Randolph, and they came across all right,' is the way he put it. Mr. Bandy was Mr. Worrall's Democratic opponent, and Mr. Randolph was the Democratic nominee for constable of Kelso township. All this happened in the town where the chairman of both the Democratic and Republican committees reside and have headquarters. 'And the gentleman from Chaffee went on, 'We made Thomas come across with a promise of an appropriation of \$1,500 for the Dutchtown road.' And the record will show that at the session of court immediately after the election \$1,500 was appropriated to be used on the Dutchtown road. 'This is but one leak of how it happened. If we could get on the inside of all the deals, it might be clear to us how some people continue themselves in office. 'The gentlemen from Chaffee also said that the reason the Socialist vote was no larger at that place was because the railroad company refused to let the men vote. Asked if there were many Socialists among the railroad men, he said, 'about a hundred.'

Tuesday Ed. Garvey, of Commerce, was here. 'I voted the Socialist ticket,' said Mr. Garvey. 'You know those notices that were stuck up to vote against Bob Buck because he was fighting the Kicker?' 'Where there any notices of that kind?' I asked. 'Yes; they were put up on the night before election and the Republicans and Democrats went to town to them and accuse the Socialists of having put them up and say what a dirty sneaking trick it was to put up notices like that in the night with no name signed.' 'Of course I was not surprised I am never surprised at any dirty trick the politicians play to fool the people. But I believe that some of Mr. Buck's friends will be much surprised unless he can clear his skirts of being a party to it. Mr. Garvey went on. 'Then I went on and several cards were handed to me to vote for Bob Buck. I noticed that the parties handing out the cards were all Republicans. They thought I was still a Republican. I went to school with Bob Buck and Bob Stubbfield and always voted for them, but this looked to me like a getting together of the Democrats and Republicans. They printed thousands of lying circulars about a 'deal' between the Socialists and Republicans. I offered them any evidence. No one wanted my money. Will they offer me \$50 to prove what is stated above? 'What difference is there between securing an office by deceptive methods and obtaining money or anything else under

false pretenses? But that is the way offices have been secured in Scott county for thirty years—by fooling the people. 'When a crime is committed and the perpetrator of the crime is unknown the first thing sought is to arouse prejudice in favor of Mr. Buck. If Mr. Buck knew nothing of the trick, the Kicker will grant him space to say so. If he was a party to it, then many of his friends will feel that they made a mistake in voting for him because they considered him a 'clean man.'

Who furnished the Republicans those 'Vote for B. L. Buck' cards and who stuck up the notices in the night? It wasn't the Republican organization, was it? 'When we consider the developments since the election, and how nicely the two machines worked together, it is refreshing to read the hypocritical letter sent out by the Democratic committee in which a year's subscription was tendered to the voter. 'We need your round support,' it reads, 'to overthrow Republican bossism and oppression.' 'Is Democratic 'bossism and oppression' more desirable than Republican? And how about it when the two get together? 'These 'best people' of ours do look awfully common when you scrape off the varnish. The Socialists emerged from the campaign clean-handed and not a taint of deception nor unfairness can be laid at their doors. 'In time the people will not tolerate the methods by which men now slide into public positions. 'To a man up a tree it would appear that the Republicans sacrificed, or swapped off, their entire county ticket to get in their candidate for representative, and that the Democrats 'butchered' their candidate for representative in order to make it through with the remainder of the ticket.

LABOR MEN ADJOURN. 'After being in session for two weeks in St. Louis the American Federation of Labor adjourned Saturday—Nov. 13—leaving Samuel Gompers president without the aid of the supreme court and its jail sentence. 'But the unnecessary alarm of the plumes was plainly discernable in the noise made by its press, which, in its daily grind, pictured the Socialists as plotting the overthrow of Gompers. The Socialists care nothing about 'labor leaders.' What they want is labor men. And they do not capture these by scheming, but by education. 'But the capitalists were uneasy. Gov. Hadley was brought down and made a real Socialist speech to the workers. 'We should be rid of about half our lawyers and one-third of our judges,' declared the Governor, in discussing the employers' liability laws. 'If we had fewer of them, litigation would be decreased appreciably and the workmen would have a chance to get what is due him for injuries suffered through no fault of his own. Our factory inspection laws generally are declared unconstitutional. We have too many judges who always are ready to protect the right of property, but who never defend the rights of the people. 'On Sunday the workers were invited out to the Arch-Bishop Gleason, who told them all about how bad Socialism and Socialists were. He told the workmen that Socialism would 'obliterate individuality' robbing him of the right of self-control, making him child the property and himself the slave of the state. He said: 'Men have the right to be free, and not to become tenants of a soulless state. We have the right to preserve our homes from state control. We utterly abhor the doctrine that the little children who bless our homes, shall become the wards of the state—common property.' 'That the arch-bishop's speech pleased the exploiters of the people was evidenced by the large amount of front page space given it in the St. Louis papers. The learned arch-bishop would invite questions as the Socialists do when they talk. I should like to ask a few. If he is so opposed to 'state control' why did he and his kind raise such a protest two years ago when the people of France cut the church loose from the state? Why are they now protesting against the recent separation in Portugal? Why are they clutching so tenaciously to state control in Spain? In Mexico? 'Are we to understand that these eminent divines are in favor of state control only when they are in control of the state? Can you weigh the facts and reach any other conclusion? 'Under Socialism 'the state' will mean the people—all of the people—and that seems to be what our present rulers and educators fear most—THE PEOPLE. The plain common herd. 'Talk about 'state control.' What is the state does not now control? Why, the farmer cannot even go out and kill the game he fattens without permission from the state. But you never hear our wise men complain of such that. It is only when the privileges of the exploiting class is threatened that we hear of the horrors of 'state control.' They want to be let alone.

Are you doing your share? Is that neighbor of yours a Kicker reader? If not, whose fault is it?

THEY TOOK NOTICE.

The one thing that made the ruling class sit up and listen was the increase in the Socialist vote all over the nation. The total vote will probably reach seven hundred thousand.

The greatest surprises were in the extreme east and the extreme west. New York state jumped from 38,000 two years ago to 125,000. California from 28,000 to 50,000. In the city of Los Angeles where the prisons were over-crowded with Socialists two years ago because they dared to exercise their constitutional right of free speech and assembly, the Socialists carried 30 precincts.

The state of Washington jumped from 14,000 to 33,000; Ohio from 14,000 to 28,000; Illinois from 34,000 to 53,000; Missouri from 15,000 to 22,000; Texas 1,800 to 18,000; Pennsylvania from 33,000 to 72,000. 'In not a single state did the Socialists lose ground. In every state they gained. How much of this can the plute stand? But that doesn't matter. They will have to swallow the whole dose within ten years—and the local patriots will likely be given their 'pill' two years hence.

MEXICAN SLAVES REBEL.

For the past two weeks fighting has been reported from Mexico, but little is known of the situation because of the unreliability of our news system.

The first reports had it that the rebels were getting the best of it, and that the army was deserting the government, but such news has a depressing effect on Mexicans bonds held here, and the tune was changed.

Anyhow, the rumpus was of sufficient importance to cause old J. Pierpont Morgan to trot over to Washington and give orders to his government and a press dispatch dated Nov. 23 says he was 'deeply concerned.'

Since then the dispatches say that U. S. secret service men and Texas rangers are scouring the Mexican border in search of Madero, the rebel leader, and his band—thus adding the tyrant Diaz.

Does this concern you, dear reader? It is American capital that is oppressing the work people of Mexico. It is American capital that is oppressing you. The same power that rules Mexico rules us. The reason we have not reached the depths of misery suffered by the Mexicans is because we are less 'religious' cause we tolerate 'state control' of schools. 'But we are going the way of Mexico at a rapid pace. We are saddling a soldier on every worker's back as fast as we can. See the big poster of the government in your postoffice calling for men to join the army and navy. 'The Socialist movement is the only obstacle that threatens to block the way of the master class. And they don't like it a bit.

HOW IT WORKS. 'It may be of interest to the reformers to know something of the result of their work. 'The national government has just made public its report for the fiscal year ending June 30, which shows that: '133,000,000 gallons of distilled spirits were consumed during the year—30,000,000 gallons more than the year before. '50,455,111 barrels of fermented liquors—or 3,000,000 barrels more than the year before. '6,830,000,000 cigarettes—or 1,000,000,000 more than the year before. 'Keep it up! You are doing fine. As long as you can make the people believe that booze is the cause of all our ills they will never discover the real trouble.

EQUALLY USEFUL.

A widower had long laid siege to the heart of an elderly spinster, but finding his protestations of love met with but a cold response he solicited the aid of the vicar, who, after some demur, promised to do what he could in the matter. 'Having occasion to visit the lady shortly afterwards the reverend gentleman went straight to the point. 'Have you never thought of taking a husband Miss —? He would be a protection here,' he remarked, his eyes taking in at a glance the valuable furniture and ornaments adorning the room. 'Husband' ejaculated the spinster feily. 'I have three pets which together answer the purpose quite well. I've a dog that growls all the morning, a parrot that swears all the afternoon and a cat that stays out all night.' 'The vicar is no longer a match-maker.

Do the capitalist papers keep the platforms of their parties before the people to be studied? Oh, no! Capitalist platforms are like capitalist laws and can be made to mean anything—or nothing. The country papers print what they call a 'brief synopsis' of the platform and let it go at that. The Socialists are proud of their platform and want the people to study it.

The difference between the Socialist and capitalist parties is real and fundamental. The Socialist party gets its support and power from below—from the working class. The capitalist party gets its support and power from above—from the privileged class. Take your choice.

ENORMOUS INCOMES.

All Admit that Something is Wrong, But only Socialists 'See the Cat.'

The announcement was made only a few days ago that the income of J. D. Rockefeller, head of the Standard Oil trust, and a stockholder in a score of other corporations would, this year, reach over \$70,000,000. This amount of money comes in the shape of dividends from his different holdings. The announcement comes further that the income of J. P. Morgan will be only a trifle smaller.

This means that these two incomes will have to be reckoned in such securities as will bring in the best possible results and thus, year after year, this money piles up enormously in the hands of a few men who now practically control the wealth of the nation and are getting a firmer grip on it every year. These immense incomes do not mean the legitimate profits on any line of business, but comes in the nature of special privileges, where no regard is given to the people who use the output of their holdings. If it be manufactures, the consumers must pay the bill; if it is in raw materials the ships must pay the toll. These immense holdings are a daily grind upon the people to make up a satisfactory income to the few rich men who do not need it.

This moves Dr. David Kinley, dean of the Illinois college, to declare that the democracy of the United States is threatened by the unequal distribution of wealth. He contends that the day is at hand when it may become necessary to prevent the aggregation of capital in the hands of individuals. 'It is the inherent right of free people to change laws relative to the institution of private property, whenever demanded by public welfare. Gigantic fortunes, however, do not represent the owners' contribution to society, but rather the result of special privileges.'—Dexter Messenger.

The Dexter Messenger is edited by an ex-mayor of that city who is a very able man. Like all intelligent newspaper men, he sees the trouble and the danger, but can suggest no effective remedy. He is a Democrat, of course, and knows as well as I do that his party is controlled by the very influences of which he complains. Just as is the Republican party. 'There is no remedy suggested in the platform of the Democratic party. If there is, I will send the editor of the Messenger a new hat if he will point it out. On all essential matters the platforms of the two old parties are alike. Both stand for the very evils of which the editor complains—the institution of private property, as it now exists, and the rule of the Rockefeller and Morgans.

Why do men like Watkins, of Messenger, Ake, of the Iron County Register, McGuire, of the Cash Book, Walker, of the Critic and Hag, of the Husler, vote to keep it up? I can understand why men who live from 'county printer' vote that way.

Yes, the Democrats have elected a majority of congressmen. A single additional package of garden seeds—and that is all the masses ever got out of congress. When old Joe Cannon got so rotten that members of his own party 'unsunged' and threatened his overthrow, where did Uncle Joe look for help? Didn't the interests reach over into the Democratic ranks and line up enough tariff reform papers to save Uncle Joe's hide?

Of course you call these Democrats and do the dirty work that you called Cleveland. Somehow, to be a traitor to the people seems to be a Democratic specialty whenever it comes to a show-down. Over in Illinois when the beef trust wanted to defeat Hopkins, the Republican primary nominee for U. S. senator and elect its own man, Lorimer, the Democrats came across and elect Lorimer at from \$1,000 to \$2,500 per vote? 'Of the two parties the Republican party is entitled to the greatest respect. It stands openly for Privilege. The Democratic party is a hungry, hypocritical outfit that pretends to stand for the masses, but is really a reserve force of privilege that stands in the background and howls about reform until matters get so rotten that some of the Republicans balk. Then come the Democrats and do the dirty work that some of the Republicans refused to stand for.

Then there is Tom Watson, the Populist Moses. No man has said meaning things about the Democrats than Watson. Yet he voted that ticket last month. His own party having disappeared he decided to take the 'lesser of two evils' and vote for 'the man.' There—but one party in the South—the Democratic or 'white man's party,' as they call it down there. Race prejudice is always appealed to and is made the 'paramount issue.' And the white workmen are caught by the dope and vote for 'white supremacy.' And 'white supremacy' means there what it does here—the white men of wealth. The poor whites of the south are no better off than the negro against whom they must compete for the jobs.

On public affairs Tom Watson is one of the best posted men in the nation. And no matter whether you agree with him or not, he is interesting. He reaches the same conclusions as the Socialist, but his remedy is 'rebel politics and good men'—just as though that were possible under capitalism. Tom owns a large plantation on which he has negroes doing the work and dividing up with him, hence he can't see the justice of Socialism. One of the mainstays of the Socialists is that the wealth produced by the average worker equals \$10 per day. The Socialist can prove this by government statistics, but in a recent issue of his paper, The Jeffersonian, published at Atlanta, Ga., Watson proves it a different way. He says: 'Do you know how much you contribute every year to the support of this government? 'The question is deeply important to you and should cause you to put on your thinking cap. 'There are 30,000,000 people in this Republic—white and big, young and old, black and white, rich and poor. The Federal Government is spending over \$35,000,000 every day exclusive of sundays and legal holidays. Consequently nearly forty cents a day is what Uncle Sam spends of your money—besides 40 cents for your wife and for each of your children. 'If your family consists of five persons, your part of the Federal tax is \$2.00 per day! 'What do you think of that, son? 'For the 360 work days of the year your contribution to the U. S. expense is \$720. 'Pretty steep isn't it? You pay this tax when you consume tobacco, cigars, cigarettes, fire-water and manufactured goods. 'You escape the tax on tobacco and whisky, by letting them alone; but you cannot keep yourself when it comes to manufactured articles. They are necessary to your business and to your very existence. Clothing, crockery, cutlery, furniture, plantation supplies, etc., you are compelled to purchase, whether you want or not. 'Mr. Watson then goes on to show that on the things we consume the government gets a revenue on only one-fifth, while the privileged interests pocket four-fifths—or eight dollars per day. That makes the \$10 per day for every family of five, and since the average worker usually earns the year in debt, it is clear that the government and the privileged interests get all the products beyond his keep. And it must not be overlooked that the wife and children are also producers of wealth as are also his horses, mules, cows, machines—all working for the government and the privileged few. Mr. Watson concludes:

'As your extravagant government needs you to the extent of 40 cents a day for each member of your family, and as the average worker lives on five times as much, it is no wonder that the products of wealth are unable to keep any of it. The non-producers MAKE THE LAWS while you are producing the wealth, and these LAWS are framed for the special purpose of HANDING OVER to the non-producing class what the wealth-producers make. Yet there are thousands of the oppressed victims of these public laws who say they 'take no interest in politics' and that if a man will just work harder and talk less, he will come out ahead of the game. . . .

But Mr. Watson has discovered something else. He has discovered that Socialism is not the only thing that is 'ragin' morally and the home.' He has discovered that, in addition to the white slave traffic the eminently respectable of the East have another industry—'baby farms.' He describes a baby farm as 'a home for illegitimate children, presided over by a human fiend who usually lets the little waifs starve to death.' Of a visit by a daughter of John Boyle O'Reilly among the baby farms, Mr. Watson writes:

'Miss O'Reilly visited all of the baby farms she could learn of, and has, for her own words, seen babies crowded into quarters like cattle in a pen and literally left to die of starvation and neglect. One house she visited was desolate and forbidding enough. It stood on a precipice, 80 feet to the rear, dropped 100 feet to the river. As Miss O'Reilly passed the nearest house, a quarter of a mile away an old man said, 'Don't go near the place; it is a house of mystery.' She rapped at the door, and a slatternly woman opened it. The part Miss O'Reilly played she had thought out days before. She was acting for a friend. The transaction was made. 'And this was near Boston and New York, where people are so moral and refined as to shudder at the very mention of Socialism. It was Theodore Roosevelt, the 'has been,' who wrote that the creed of Socialism was as revolting as to forbid mention in a respectable publication. How about the 'baby farms,' Tom?

And this was near Boston and New York, where people are so moral and refined as to shudder at the very mention of Socialism. It was Theodore Roosevelt, the 'has been,' who wrote that the creed of Socialism was as revolting as to forbid mention in a respectable publication. How about the 'baby farms,' Tom?

And this was near Boston and New York, where people are so moral and refined as to shudder at the very mention of Socialism. It was Theodore Roosevelt, the 'has been,' who wrote that the creed of Socialism was as revolting as to forbid mention in a respectable publication. How about the 'baby farms,' Tom?

And this was near Boston and New York, where people are so moral and refined as to shudder at the very mention of Socialism. It was Theodore Roosevelt, the 'has been,' who wrote that the creed of Socialism was as revolting as to forbid mention in a respectable publication. How about the 'baby farms,' Tom?

And this was near Boston and New York, where people are so moral and refined as to shudder at the very mention of Socialism. It was Theodore Roosevelt, the 'has been,' who wrote that the creed of Socialism was as revolting as to forbid mention in a respectable publication. How about the 'baby farms,' Tom?